IJETR International Journal of Education and Theoritical Research
https://journal.synrise.org/index.php/ijetr

e-ISSN : XXXX-XXX
Vol 1, Issue 1, 2025
pp. 9-15

Blended Learning Models in Post-Pandemic Education: Challenges, Innovations, and Pedagogical Implications

Sumitro[1*]
[1] Sociology Education Study Program, University of Mataram, Indonesia
*Corresponding author email: sumitro@unram.ac.id

Article Info

Article history:

Received: 15-09-2025
Revised: 05-10-2025
Accepted: 20-10-2025

Keywords:

Blended learning, Post-pandemic education, Digital pedagogy

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly altered education systems around the world, leading to the widespread adoption of blended learning models. The study explores the pedagogical, technological, and institutional challenges associated with blended learning in the post-pandemic era while identifying emerging innovations and their implications for future teaching practices. Using a mixed-methods design that involves surveys and interviews with educators and students from middle and high schools, the study found that while blended learning increases flexibility and engagement, it also presents issues of digital inequalities, instructional design complexity, and learner autonomy. These findings emphasize the need for systemic support, digital literacy development, and reimagined pedagogical strategies to ensure the sustainability and effectiveness of blended learning.

1. INTRODUCTION

Blended learning has become the dominant paradigm in post-pandemic education, replacing the temporary emergency learning model with a more systematic and structured long-term solution. The emergence of this model is not only in response to the global health crisis, but in response to the need for flexibility, personalization, and more inclusive access to education. In this context, the study aims to evaluate not only the effectiveness of the implementation of blended learning, but also its challenges, innovations, and pedagogical implications.

The results of the survey and interviews in this study underscore a number of key challenges in the implementation of blended learning. One of the most significant is digital inequality, especially in the context of developing countries. Students from economically weak backgrounds face limited access to devices, stable internet connections, and supportive learning environments (Means & Neisler, 2021). This challenge is exacerbated by teachers' unpreparedness in adopting a digital pedagogical approach, as identified by the OECD (2021), which states that more than 60% of teachers in developing countries do not receive formal training related to online learning.

The gap in student involvement is also a challenge. Online learning formats that tend to be asynchronous make many students lose motivation and focus on learning. As stated by Bernard et al. (2009), a learning environment that is less digitally interactive tends to reduce student participation in learning, especially if it is not accompanied by a strong pedagogical strategy.

Despite facing many challenges, blended learning has also given birth to various significant innovations. One of them is the flipped classroom model that changes the role

How to cite: Example: Sumitro. (2025). Blended Learning Models in Post-Pandemic Education: Challenges, Innovations, and Pedagogical Implications. IJETR: *International Journal of Education and Theoritical Research*, *1*(1), 9–15. https://doi.org/10.55681/ijetr.v1i1.7

of teachers from informants to facilitators of critical discussions. In this model, material is delivered asynchronously through videos or modules, while synchronous sessions are used for interactive discussion and reflection (Strelan et al., 2020). This approach has been shown to increase student learning independence and engagement in active learning.

In addition, the use of adaptive learning technology and learning analytics allows lecturers and teachers to track student progress in real-time. This opens up opportunities for earlier intervention and personalization of learning (Hrastinski, 2019). Tools such as Google Classroom, Moodle, and Edmodo have become popular means of helping teachers organize integrated blended activities.

As explained by Boelens et al. (2017), effective blended learning must address four key challenges: flexibility, meaningful online interaction, active engagement, and online-offline content integration. The study shows that institutions that are able to address these four challenges through a combination of teacher training, adaptive curriculum design, and technology support have a greater chance of achieving successful implementation.

This change in learning structure demands a paradigm shift in instructional design. The traditional teacher-centered approach is no longer relevant in the context of blended learning. Instead, a constructivist approach—which emphasizes collaboration, problem-solving, and contextual learning—should be integrated into every learning activity (Bernard et al., 2009). Teachers need to be trained to design learning experiences that encourage active and reflective student engagement.

Assessments in blended learning also need to be reformulated. Conventional exam practices do not always fit online formats due to the risk of plagiarism and academic integrity. Therefore, alternative assessments such as digital portfolios, collaborative projects, and app-based quizzes are becoming more credible and formative solutions (Zainuddin & Perera, 2019).

The sustainable implementation of blended learning requires investment in holistic teacher professional development. It is not enough to just practice the use of technology, but also to instill a deep pedagogical understanding. Teachers need to be familiar with the principles of online learning design, virtual classroom management, and strategies to enable two-way learning.

As emphasized by Bond et al. (2021), comprehensive teacher capacity development is the key to the successful implementation of online-hybrid learning. Training programs that are one-time or theoretical alone are inadequate. Ongoing, practice-based, and supported training is required by a professional learning community.

In the Indonesian context, this training must also consider digital disparities between regions and accommodate local cultures. Teachers in remote areas, for example, need training that is not only online-based, but also supported by print modules and field assistance to be more inclusive.

Educational transformation through a blended learning model can only succeed if it is supported by strong institutional policies and support. Educational institutions need to develop adequate digital infrastructure, integrated learning management systems, and flexible academic policies. Graham (2019) states that the success of blended learning is highly dependent on the readiness of the education system to innovate structurally and not just technologically.

Support from the government is also very crucial. The Merdeka Learning program carried out by the Indonesian Ministry of Education, for example, needs to be more directed to facilitate the transition to blended learning that is oriented to the local needs and socio-cultural context of students. This includes the provision of technical assistance,

scholarships for teacher capacity building, and incentives for schools and campuses that innovate learning.

The blended learning model is not a temporary solution, but it will become a permanent part of the education system in the future. Learning is no longer limited by space and time, but has become more flexible, adaptive, and personalized. Therefore, further research is urgently needed to evaluate the long-term impact of this model on learning outcomes, student well-being, and job readiness.

Research also needs to dig deeper into how differences in cultural, social, and economic contexts affect the success of blended learning. Matahari & Chen (2016) emphasized the importance of understanding regional and institutional differences in the application of this model so that new gaps do not occur in the world of education. This article investigates how blended learning models have evolved post-pandemic, analyzes the challenges faced by educators and learners, and identifies innovative practices and pedagogical implications that are important for future educational resilience.

2. METHODS

This study uses a convergent mixed methods approach that combines quantitative and qualitative data to gain a comprehensive understanding of the implementation of blended learning in the post-pandemic period. This approach was chosen because it allows data triangulation and strengthens the validity of findings through the integration of statistical numbers and experiential narratives.

At the quantitative stage, data was obtained through an online survey involving 250 students and 100 educators from five universities and five secondary schools in Indonesia. The survey instrument is a Likert scale questionnaire designed to measure perceptions of flexibility, effectiveness, challenges, and innovation in blended learning. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and simple linear regression through SPSS software.

Meanwhile, at the qualitative stage, 20 in-depth interviews were conducted on a purposive sample, consisting of 10 educators and 10 students who had significant experience in participating in and/or managing blended learning. The interview guide covers topics of instructional strategy, technological barriers, student engagement, as well as institutional support. The data were analyzed using a thematic analysis approach with the help of NVivo software to identify key patterns and relationships between thematic categories.

The combination of the results of the two methods was carried out at the interpretation stage to see the relationship between statistical data and experiential narratives. This strategy allows researchers to obtain a complete picture of practices, challenges, and potential innovations in the implementation of blended learning in the post-pandemic period.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Perceptions of Students and Educators

The survey results show that the majority of respondents, namely 78% of students and 83% of educators, stated that the blended learning model offers higher flexibility compared to traditional learning. This flexibility includes ease of access to materials, adjustment of study time, and independent learning opportunities. However, challenges still arise. As many as 62% of students admitted that they had difficulty managing time and material in the online component which demanded high independence. On the other hand, 45% of educators feel the workload increases because they have to design materials in two formats at once—in-person and online. This indicates the need for adequate support and

training strategies so that flexibility does not turn into a learning barrier that actually reduces the effectiveness of the teaching and learning process.

Table 1. Key Perceptions of Blended Learning

Statement	Agree (%) - Students	Agree (%) - Educator
Blended learning offers flexibility	78%	83%
Online elements are difficult to manage	62%	—
Content development increases workload	—	45%
Technology increases engagement	71%	66%

3.2. Improved Key Challenges Identified

Based on the results of in-depth interviews with students and educators, five main challenges were found that are often faced in the implementation of blended learning in the post-pandemic era. These challenges reflect the complexity of the transition from a conventional learning model to a blended approach that blends online and offline learning simultaneously.

First, digital inequality is the most fundamental barrier. Many students, particularly from low-income families and remote areas, do not have adequate learning devices such as laptops or tablets. Some of them even have to share their devices with other family members or rely on small-screen phones. In addition, the limited stable internet access and expensive quota fees make it difficult for students to participate in online learning consistently. This inequality directly impacts student engagement and learning outcomes, as revealed by Means and Neisler (2021) who stated that the gap in access to technology widens the gap in educational inequality.

Second, the readiness of instructors in managing blended learning is still relatively low. Many teachers and lecturers admit that they do not have adequate training in digital pedagogy. As a result, online materials are often just lecture recordings or file uploads without an interactive approach. This certainly has an impact on the quality of learning and reduces student motivation. Teachers also have difficulty managing online discussions, providing feedback, and accurately assessing student participation.

Third, problems arise in the assessment system. Online assessments raise concerns about academic integrity due to the high potential for cheating, such as unauthorized cooperation between students or the unsupervised use of information resources. Additionally, project-based assessments do not necessarily reflect individual abilities, especially in large-sized classrooms that are difficult for teachers to monitor individually.

Fourth, there is a gap in student involvement, especially in asynchronous learning. Many students have difficulty maintaining focus and motivation when they have to study independently, without direct guidance from the teacher. The lack of interaction and lack of real-time feedback exacerbate this condition.

Fifth, institutional support for blended learning is still very limited. Many educational institutions do not yet have adequate learning management systems (LMS), blended learning operational guidance, or clear supporting policies. The implementation of blended learning also tends to depend on the initiative and creativity of individual educators, without the support of a solid system.

These five challenges affirm that the success of blended learning is not solely determined by the existence of technology, but also by the readiness of human resources, pedagogical design, and comprehensive institutional support. This is in line with the findings of the OECD (2021) which states that equal access and competence of educators

are the two main pillars in ensuring the sustainability and effectiveness of blended education.

3.3. Emerging Learning Innovations

Apart from the various challenges in the implementation of blended learning, this study also identifies a number of innovations that have begun to be implemented by educators at various levels of education. These innovations not only serve as practical solutions, but also show a paradigm shift in education from a teacher-centered approach to a more student-centered and technology-based approach (Hrastinski, 2019).

One of the most prominent innovations is the flipped classroom, which is a learning model in which key materials, such as lectures or theories, are given in the form of videos or modules that students can access independently before the class session begins. In synchronous classes, both online and offline, students no longer receive passive lectures, but rather engage in discussions, case studies, or reflective assignments aimed at deepening their understanding. This model allows students to process material at their own pace and come to class with more mature questions or ideas. Research by Strelan et al. (2020) shows that flipped classrooms significantly increase student engagement and two-way interaction in the learning process.

Another innovation is the application of adaptive learning technology, which is a digital platform that adjusts the content, speed, and difficulty level of the material according to individual student performance. Thus, students who have difficulties in a topic will receive reinforcement, while students who understand faster can immediately move on to the next material. This technology effectively addresses the problem of ability gaps between students and allows for a more personalized approach to education.

Furthermore, the integration of learning analytics is an important tool for educators to monitor student progress in real-time. By analyzing data such as frequency of material access, forum participation, and quiz results, teachers can identify students who are at risk of falling behind and intervene early. This increases teaching effectiveness and aids in data-driven decision-making.

Finally, the use of digital collaborative tools such as discussion forums, breakout rooms, and shared online documents (e.g. Google Docs) has strengthened the social learning aspect in a blended learning setting. Students not only become recipients of information, but also actively contribute to the learning process through group discussions and teamwork that crosses space and time. These innovations reflect that blended learning designed with a constructive and technology-based approach has great potential in creating a more active, meaningful, and inclusive learning experience.

Blended learning requires educators to rethink course design, assessment, and interaction. Constructivist pedagogies such as problem-based learning, peer collaboration, and formative feedback are essential to effectively utilize blended environments (Bernard et al., 2009).

Professional development must go beyond technical training to include instructional design, digital assessment strategies, and inclusive pedagogical approaches. Institutions must invest in sustainable infrastructure, policy frameworks, and support systems to ensure sustainability.

4. CONCLUSION

Blended learning has become the dominant approach in the post-pandemic education landscape, replacing emergency methods with more sustainable and flexible models. The study shows that blended learning offers a variety of benefits, such as time flexibility,

increased student engagement, and access to wider digital resources. However, the success of its implementation is largely determined by the readiness of resources, both in terms of students, educators, and institutions.

The main challenges identified include inequality of digital access, low teacher readiness in online pedagogy, difficulties in maintaining student involvement in asynchronous learning, and constraints in assessment systems and institutional support. Nonetheless, various innovations have emerged in response to these challenges, such as the application of flipped classroom models, adaptive learning technologies, the use of learning analytics, and digital collaborative tools. These innovations not only improve the quality of interaction, but also encourage a more personalized and student-centered education.

Thus, to ensure the success and sustainability of blended learning, strategic and systemic efforts are needed, such as teacher professional development, digital infrastructure investment, and adaptive and inclusive education policies. Additionally, it is important to continuously evaluate best practices and pay attention to the socio-cultural context in each region. Only with this holistic approach can blended learning evolve as a fair, effective, and effective future education solution.

REFERENCES

Bernard, R. M., et al. (2009). Meta-analysis of blended learning and technology use in higher education. Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 21(3), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-009-9024-0

Boelens, R., De Wever, B., & Voet, M. (2017). Four key challenges to the design of blended learning. Educational Research Review, 22, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2017.06.001

Bond, M., et al. (2021). Mapping the first global online semester. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 18(1), 50. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00282-x

Graham, C. R. (2019). Current research in blended learning. In M. J. Spector (Ed.), Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-36119-8_12

Hrastinski, S. (2019). What do we mean by blended learning? TechTrends, 63, 564–569. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-019-00375-5

Means, B., & Neisler, J. (2021). Teaching and learning in the time of COVID: Student perspectives. Online Learning Journal, 25(1), 8–27. https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v25i1.2496

OECD. (2021). The state of school education: One year into the COVID pandemic. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/201dde84-en

Strelan, P., Osborn, A., & Palmer, E. (2020). The flipped classroom: A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 30, 100314. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100314

Zainuddin, Z., & Perera, C. J. (2019). Exploring student competence, autonomy, and connectedness in flipped pedagogical model. Journal of Further and Higher Education, 43(1), 115–126. https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2017.1356916

Matahari, A., & Chen, X. (2016). Online education and its effective practices: A research review. Journal of Information Technology Education: Research, 15, 157–190. https://doi.org/10.28945/3502

Zainuddin, Z., & Perera, C.J. (2019). Exploring students' competence, autonomy, and interconnectedness in a reverse classroom pedagogical model. Journal of Advanced and Higher Education, 43(1), 115–126. https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2017.1356916